(3) (1)

CF(Book

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR (CHHATTISGARH)

WRIT PETITION NO. 229 /2004

PETITIONER

Tej Bahadur Singh, aged about 65 years, son of Late Ram Naresh Singh, Retired Block Education Officer (Vikash Khand Shiksha Adhikari), Shankargarh, Ambikapur, District Surguja (Chhattisgarh), resident of Pratappurnaka Road, Kedarpur, Ambikapur, Dist - Surguja (Chhattisgarh)

P. R. No. 22.Jan.04
Presented by Shri Aman Tiwari
dated 22.Jan.04

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS

1. The State of Chhattisgarh, through : The Secretary, Department of Tribal Welfare, Dau Kalyan Singh Bhawan, Raipur (C.G.)

2. The Accountant General, State of Chhattisgarh, Dau Kalyan Singh Bhawan, Raipur (Chhattisgarh.)

3. The Accountant General, State of Madhya Pradesh, Gwallior (Madhya Pradesh).

4. The Collector, District Surguja (Chhattisgarh).

5. The Assistant Commissioner, Department of Tribal Welfare, Ambikapur, Distt. Surguja (Chhattisgarh).

6. The Block Education Officer, Block Shankargarh,

RECEIVED COPY 22/1/04
Clerk to A. G. Bilaspur

Received-Copy
Shri Yadav
22.1.04
Clerk to
VINAY HARIT
Senior Central Government Standing Counsel
CHHATTISGARH HIGH COURT

(11) (2)

Ambikapur,, Dist. Surguja (Chhattisgarh).

7. The Union of India (Cell), through : Controller and Auditor General, Government of India, 10 Bahadur Shah Jafar Marg, New Delhi 110 002.

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLES 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

XI-HC-22

(34)

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक W.P.No.229/04 सन् 200

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

13.02.2004

Petitioner by Shri A.N. Bhakta, Advocate.

Shri Ranbir Singh, Govt. Advocate for the State.

The petitioner has preferred this Writ Petition under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, questioned the inaction on the part of the respondents regarding non-payment of his General Provident Fund [GPF] to the tune of Rs.2,28,168/-

The case of the petitioner is that he was working as Block Education Officer in the State of C.G. and was retired on 31.01.2002 from the post of Block Education Officer, Shankargarh, District Sarguja (C.G.) and during his service time the GPF subscription was deducted from his salary and after the retirement of the petitioner the Assistant Commissioner, Tribal Welfare, Ambikapur Distt. Sarguja has also transmitted the relevant papers to the Accountant General Office, M.P. on 29.12.2001 (Annexure P-2) by complying and furnishing all the formalities as per Rule 10 of the GPF. The petitioner made several representations but the respondents are not deciding his representations nor they are making payment of the GPF, which was deducted from the salary of the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner may be allowed to make a further representation to respondents No.2 & 3, to which learned State counsel has no objection.

Having heard the learned counsel for the parties and having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case, the

XI-HC-22

(35)

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक W.P.No.229/04 सन् 200

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित (2)	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

petitioner is allowed to make a further representation raising all his grievances within 10 days from today to respondent No.2 & 3 and in turn respondent No.2 & 3 after considering all the grievances of the petitioner shall decide the representation within a period of 60 days from the date of receipt of the same in an objective manner in accordance with the law and the petitioner may be communicated about the decision taken by the respondents.

With the above directions the petition stands disposed of.

In view of this order, M.W.P. No.202/2004 & I.A. No.592/2004 for urgent hearing stand disposed of.

Certified copy as per rules.

Sd/-
L.C.BHADOO
Judge

Roshan/
SG